# Local Nikolskii Constants for a Special Class of Baskakov Operators

#### Heinz-Gerd Lehnhoff\*

Institut für Mechanik, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Hochschulstrasse 1, D-6100 Darmstadt, West Germany

Communicated by G. Meinardus

Received September 25, 1980

### 1. DEFINITIONS AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\Phi_n : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  (b > 0) be a sequence of functions, having the following properties:

- (i)  $\Phi_n$  is infinitely differentiable on [0, b];
- (ii)  $\Phi_n(0) = 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\Phi_n$  is completely monotone on [0, b], i.e.,  $(-1)^k \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) \ge 0$  for  $x \in [0, b]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ;
  - (iv) there exists an integer c, such that

$$\Phi_n^{(k)}(x) = -n\Phi_{n-c}^{(k-1)}(x)$$

for  $x \in [0, b]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > \max(c, 0)$ .

Then the sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  generates two sequences of operators, namely,

$$T_n(f;x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) x^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in [0,b], \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ (1.1)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_n(g;x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_n^{(k)}(x) x^k \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + g\left(2x - \frac{k}{n}\right) \right\},$$

$$x \in [0, b], \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.2)$$

Remarks. (1) It can be shown that operators (1.1) specialize some well-known operators as those of Baskakov [1] and Schurer [9].

<sup>\*</sup> This paper is part of the author's dissertation.

(2) A remark of Schurer [9; p. 23] says that the function can be continued analytically to a function  $\Theta_n$ , which is holomorphic in the closed disk  $B := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z-b| \le b\}$ . A complete proof of this fact is given in [2: p. 47 ff.].

Let now  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval, then  $C_M(X)$  denotes the space of all functions  $f \in C(X)$  such that

$$|f(t)| \le A(f) + B(f) |t|^{m(f)}$$

for some constants A(f),  $B(f) \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $m(f) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

THEOREM 1.2. (a)  $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of linear positive operators from  $C_M[0,\infty)$  in C[0,b] with the property

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T_n(f; x) = f(x), \qquad f \in C_M[0, \infty), \ x \in [0, b].$$

(b)  $(\tilde{T}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of linear positive operators from  $C_M(\mathbb{R})$  in C[0,b] with the property

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{T}_n(g;x) = g(x), \qquad g \in C_M(\mathbb{R}), \ x \in [0,b].$$

*Proof.* Part (a) follows immediately from a theorem of Rathore (cf. [6; pp. 35-39]). Moreover (b) follows from (a) and the fact that

$$\tilde{T}_n(g;x) = \frac{1}{2} \{ T_n(g;x) + T_n(g(2x-t);x) \}, \quad x \in [0,b].$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x \in [0, b]$  we write

$$T_n((t-x)^s; x) = \frac{1}{n^s} M_{n,s}(x),$$
 (1.3)

where

$$M_{n,s}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \, \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) \, x^k (k - nx)^s. \tag{1.4}$$

Then the following result of Sikkema [10; Satz 4; p. 236] is valid.

LEMMA 1.3. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > \max(c, 0)$  and  $x \in [0, b]$  we have

$$M_{n,m+1}(x) = nx \sum_{s=0}^{m} {m \choose s} (1 - cx)^{m-s} M_{n-c,s}(x) - nx M_{n,m}(x).$$
 (1.5)

From the recurrence relation (1.5) we obtain

LEMMA 1.4. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > \max(c, 0)$  and  $x \in [0, b]$  the formula

$$M_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \Psi_{m,j}(x) n^j$$
 (1.6)

holds, where  $\Psi_{m,j}$   $(0 \le j \le \lfloor m/2 \rfloor)$  is an algebraic polynomial of degree m in x. Moreover there exists a positive constant C(m,b) such that

$$|M_{n,m}(x)| \leq C(m,b) n^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}$$

and

$$|T_n((t-x)^m;x)| \le C(m,b) n^{-\lceil (m+1)/2 \rceil}$$

hold uniformly for all  $x \in [0, b]$  and  $n > \max(mc, 0)$ .

*Proof.* To prove Lemma 1.4 we have only to show that formula (1.6) is valid. This will be done by means of mathematical induction. According to Baskakov [1; p. 249 f.] we have

$$M_{n,0}(x) = T_n(1; x) = 1.$$

Now let us assume that for  $0 \le r < m$ 

$$M_{n,r}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} \Psi_{r,j}(x) n^j,$$

where  $\Psi_{r,j}$   $(0 \le j \le \lfloor r/2 \rfloor)$  is an algebraic polynomial of degree r in x. By Lemma 1.3 we obtain

$$M_{n,m}(x) = nx \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} {m-1 \choose s} (1-cx)^{m-1-s} M_{n-c,s}(x) - nx M_{n,m-1}(x)$$

$$= nx \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} {m-1 \choose s} (1-cx)^{m-1-s} M_{n-c,s}(x)$$

$$+ nx [M_{n-c,m-1}(x) + M_{n,m-1}(x)]$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} {m-1 \choose s} \Psi_{s,j}(x) (1-cx)^{m-1-s} nx (n-c)^{j}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} {j \choose k} (-c)^{j-k} \Psi_{m-1,j}(x) n^{k}.$$

$$(1.7)$$

We can see from (1.7) that the degree in n of  $M_{n,m}(x)$  is equal to  $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ . Moreover we know that  $\Psi_{s,j}$  is an algebraic polynomial of degree s in x for all  $0 \le j \le \lfloor s/2 \rfloor$  ( $0 \le s \le m-1$ ). Thus we can write

$$M_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \Psi_{m,j}(x) n^j$$

with suitable polynomials  $\Psi_{m,i}$  of degree m in x.

THEOREM 1.5 (Conclusions from Definition 1.1).

- (a) The case  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , c > 0. (i) Suppose, there is a sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0,b] with c > 0, then it must be  $0 \le b \le 1/c$ .
- (ii) For each sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0,b] with c>0, we have

$$\Phi_{cm+j}(x) = (1-cx)^{m+j/c} + \frac{(-1)^m}{(m-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^m (ck+j) \int_0^x (x-t)^{m-1} \{ \Phi_j(t) - (1-ct)^{j/c} \} dt, 
j = 1, 2, ..., c; m \in \mathbb{N}; x \in [0, b]. (1.8)$$

- (iii) The sequence  $\Phi_n(x) = (1-x)^n$  satisfies all conditions of Definition 1.1 with c=1 on the interval [0,1]. However, for c>0 the sequence  $\Phi_n(x) = (1-cx)^{n/c}$  does not satisfy all conditions of Definition 1.1 (cf. a remark of Schurer in [9; p. 66]).
- (b) The case c=0. In case c=0 the conditions of Definition 1.1 are only satisfied for the sequence  $\Phi_n(x)=\exp(-nx)$ , namely, on any interval [0,b] with b>0. Hence the corresponding operators  $T_n$  and  $\tilde{T}_n$  are defined for all  $x \ge 0$ .
- (c) The case  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , c < 0. Suppose, there is a sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0,b] with c < 0, then we have  $\Phi_n(x) = (1-cx)^{n/c}$ . On the other hand, the sequence  $\Phi_n(x) = (1-cx)^{n/c}$  satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on any interval [0,b] with b > 0. Thus the corresponding operators  $T_n$  and  $\tilde{T}_n$  are defined for all  $x \ge 0$ .
  - *Proof.* (a) (i) From the positivity of the operator  $T_n$  we get

$$T_n((t-b)^2; b) = \frac{b(1-cb)}{n} \geqslant 0,$$

i.e.,  $b(1-cb) \ge 0$  and thus  $0 \le b \le 1/c$ .

- (ii) Formula (1.8) follows from property (iv) of Definition 1.1, cf. Schurer [9; p. 63 f.].
- (b) The initial value problem  $\Phi_n(x) = -n\Phi_n(x)$ ,  $\Phi_n(0) = 1$  has a unique solution, which is given by  $\Phi_n(x) = \exp(-nx)$ .
- (c) As we have seen, the function  $\Phi_n$  can be continued analytically to a function  $\Theta_n$ , which is holomorphic on  $|z-b| \le b$ . Thus, expanding  $\Theta_n$  in a Taylor series, we have for a suitable R > 0

$$\Theta_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_n^{(k)}(0)}{k!} z^k, \qquad |z| < R.$$

Moreover we obtain from property (iv) of Definition 1.1

$$\Phi_n^{(k)}(x) = -n\Phi_{n-c}^{(k-1)}(x) = \dots = (-1)^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (n-ic) \Phi_{n-kc}(x),$$

and hence

$$\Phi_n^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (n-ic).$$

Therefore

$$\Theta_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k n(n-c) \cdots (n-(k-1)c)}{k!} z^k$$
  
=  $(1-cz)^{n/c}$ ,  $|z| < R$ 

and thus by means of analytic continuation

$$\Theta_n(z) = (1 - cz)^{n/c}$$
 for  $|z - b| \le b$ .

2. An Asymptotic Estimation for  $T_n(|t-x|^\beta;x)$ 

In this section we will show that the asymptotic estimation

$$T_n(|t-x|^{\beta};x) \cong \frac{\Gamma((\beta+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\frac{2x(1-cx)}{n}\right]^{\beta/2}, \qquad n \to \infty$$
 (2.1)

holds for all  $\beta > 0$  and all x in a suitable interval.

Now, using the result of [3: Theorem 3.2] and Lemma 1.4, we obtain

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0,b]. Suppose, for a real  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  and all  $x \in [0,b]$  the asymptotic relation

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) x^k$$

$$\cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x (1 - cx)}} \exp\left[\frac{-n}{2x (1 - cx)} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2\right],$$

 $n \to \infty$ , holds uniformly for all  $k \in A_n(x) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : |k/n - x| < n^{-\alpha}\}$ , then for all  $\beta > 0$  and all  $x \in [0, b]$  the estimation

$$T_n(|t-x|^{\beta};x) \cong \frac{\Gamma((\beta+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{2x(1-cx)}{n} \right]^{\beta/2}, \qquad n \to \infty$$

is valid.

Remark. For the Bernstein- and Szász-Mirakjan-operators the result of Theorem 2.1 was already probed by Rathore in [5].

THEOREM 2.2. (a) Let  $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0, b] with c > 0, then estimation (2.1) holds for all  $0 < x < \min(b, 1/(2c))$  and all  $\beta > 0$ .

- (b) In case of Bernstein-operators (i.e.,  $\Phi_n(x) = (1 cx)^n$ ) (2.1) is valid for all  $\beta > 0$  and all 0 < x < 1.
- (c) We have learned from Theorem 1.5 that in case  $c \le 0$  the conditions of Definition 1.1 are only satisfied by the sequences  $\Phi_n(x) = \exp(-nx)$  (c=0) and  $\Phi_n(x) = (1-cx)^{n/c}$  (c<0), namely, on any interval [0,b] (b>0). Then (2.1) holds for all x>0 and all  $\beta>0$ .

*Proof.* (a) Step I. For  $0 < x < \min(b, 1/(2c))$  the asymptotic relation

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \Phi_n^{(k)}(x) x^k \cong \frac{n(n-c)\cdots(n-(k-1)c)}{k!} (1-cx)^{n/c-k} x^k, \quad (2.2)$$

 $n \to \infty$ , holds uniformly for all  $k \in A_n(x) := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : |k/n - x| < n^{-\alpha}\}$ , where  $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof of Step I.* Suppose, we have  $n \ge n_0(x) \ge [2c/(1-cx)]^{1/\alpha}$ . Hence, putting n = cm + j  $(m \in \mathbb{N}; j = 1,...,c)$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right| < n^{-\alpha} \Rightarrow k < \frac{n}{2c} \leqslant \frac{m+1}{2} \leqslant m-1.$$

Now for  $0 \le k \le m-1$  formula (1.8) of Theorem 1.5 yields

$$\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \Phi_{cm+j}^{(k)}(x) x^{k} 
= \frac{(j+cm)(j+c(m-1))\cdots(j+c(m-k+1))}{k!} (1-cx)^{(j+c(m-k))/k} x^{k} 
+ \frac{(-1)^{m+k}}{(m-1-k)!} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (ci+j) x^{k} \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{m-1-k} \{\Phi_{j}(t)-(1-ct)^{j+c}\} dt.$$

Moreover

$$\Gamma_{m}(x) := \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (ci+j)}{(m-1-k)! (1-cx)^{m-k+j/c}} \\
\times \int_{0}^{\infty} (x-t)^{m-1-k} |\Phi_{j}(t) - (1-ct)^{j/c}| dt \\
\leq D \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (ci+j)x^{m-k}}{(m-1-k)! (1-cx)^{m-k+j/c}} \\
\leq D(m-k+j/c) \frac{(cx)^{m-k}}{(1-cx)^{m-k+j/c}} \\
= D(m-k+j/c)(cx)^{-j/c} \left[\frac{cx}{1-cx}\right]^{(cm+j)/c-k} \\
\leq D(cx)^{-j/c}(m+j/c) \\$$

uniformly for all  $k \in A_n(x)$ , because

$$0 < x < \min(b, 1/(2c)) \Rightarrow 0 < \frac{cx}{1 - cx} < 1.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we receive (2.2).

Step II. For  $0 < x < \min(b, 1/(2c))$  the asymptotic relation

$$\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \Phi_{n}^{(k)}(x) x^{k} \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x (1 - cx) n}} \exp\left[\frac{-n}{2x (1 - cx)} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^{2}\right].$$

$$n \to \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

holds uniformly for all  $k \in A_n(x)$ , where  $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Proof of Step II. Using Stirling formula we obtain from (2.2)

$$\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \Phi_{n}^{(k)}(x) x^{k} \cong \frac{n(n-c) \cdots (n-(k-1)c)}{k!} (1-cx)^{n/c-k} x^{k}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(n/c+1)}{\Gamma(n/c-(k-1))k!} (1-cx)^{n/c-k} x^{k}$$

$$\cong \frac{(n/c+1)^{n/c+1/2} (1-cx)^{n/c-k} x^{k}}{k^{k+1/2} (n/c-(k-1))^{n/c-k+1/2} \sqrt{2\pi}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{n+c}{k(n-kc+c)}} \left[ \frac{(n+c)(1-cx)}{n+c-kc} \right]^{(n-kc)/c} \left[ \frac{x(n+c)}{k} \right]^{k}$$

$$\cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-cx)}} \left[ \frac{n(1-cx)}{n-kc} \right]^{(n-kc)/c} \left[ \frac{nx}{k} \right]^{k}, \quad n \to \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

uniformly for  $k \in A_n(x)$ .

Now

$$-\log W_n(x) = (n/c - k) \log \left[ \frac{n - kc}{n(1 - cx)} \right] + k \log \left[ \frac{k}{nx} \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{c} (1 - cx) \left[ 1 - \frac{c}{1 - cx} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right] \log \left[ 1 - \frac{c}{1 - cx} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right]$$

$$+ nx \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right] \log \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right]. \tag{2.7}$$

By Taylor formula for |u| < 1

$$\log(1+u) = u - \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{u^3}{(1+\Theta u)^3} = u - \frac{1}{2}u^2(1+\varepsilon u),$$

 $0 < \Theta < 1$ , where  $\varepsilon$  remains bounded as  $u \to 0$ . Substituting in (2.7)

$$-\log W_{n}(x) = \frac{n}{c} (1 - cx) \left[ 1 - \frac{c}{1 - cx} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right]$$

$$\left[ -\frac{c}{1 - cx} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) - \frac{c^{2}}{2(1 - cx)^{2}} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{c^{3}}{(1 - cx)^{3}} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{3} \right]$$

$$+ nx \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right) - \frac{1}{2x^{2}} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2x^{3}} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{3} \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{2x(1 - cx)} \left( \frac{k}{n} - x \right)^{2} + O_{x}(n^{1 - 3\alpha}), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

uniformly for  $k \in A_n(x)$ . Therefore we have uniformly for all  $k \in A_n(x)$ 

$$W_n(x) = \exp\left[\frac{-n}{2x(1-cx)} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2\right], \qquad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.8)

Relation (2.5) now follows by (2.6) and (2.8).  $\bullet$ 

An application of Theorem 2.1 completes the proof.

- (b) Part (b) of Theorem 2.2 was already proved by Rathore [5: p. 53 f.] (cf. Lorentz [4; pp. 15–17]).
- (c) For the sequence  $\Phi_n(x) = \exp(-nx)$  the result of part (c) was proved by Rathore [5; p. 40 f.].

For the sequence  $\Phi_n(x) = (1 - cx)^{n/c}$  (c < 0) the result of part (c) can be proved in the same way as Step II in the proof of part (a).

*Remark.* Moreover, by means of Lemma 1.4 we can prove the following completion of Theorem 2.2:

Let  $(\Phi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0,b] with  $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ , then the asymptotic extension

$$T_n((t-x)^{2m}; x) \cong \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m-1)(x(1-cx))}{n^m}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma((2m+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \frac{2x(1-cx)}{n} \right]^m$$

holds for all  $x \in [0, b]$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

#### 3. THE LOCAL NIKOLSKII CONSTANTS

Let X,  $\hat{X}$  be two subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\hat{X} \subset X$ . For a sequence  $(L_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of operators, defined on the domain  $C_M(X)$  into the domain  $C(\hat{X})$ , the error in approximating a subclass  $A \subset C_M(X)$  by  $L_n$ , at a given point x is defined to be

$$\Delta(L_n; A; x) := \sup_{f \in A} |L_n(f; x) - f(x)|. \tag{3.1}$$

If there exists a numerical sequence  $\Psi_n(L;A) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , such that

$$\Delta(L_n; A; x) = C(L; A; x) \, \Psi_n(L; A) + o_x(\Psi_n(L; A)), \quad n \to \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

where C(L; A; x) is a positive number, then C(L; A; x) is called the local

Nikolskii constant corresponding to the order  $\Psi_n(L; A)$  of approximation of the class A, at the point x, by the operator  $L_n$ .

In this section A is always one of the following three classes

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Lip}(C(X);\alpha;1) &:= \{ f \in C(X) \colon |f(x+h) - f(x)| \leqslant |h|^{\alpha}, \\ \forall x, x+h \in X \}, \qquad 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1 \, ; \\ \operatorname{Lip}^*(C(X);\alpha;2) &:= \{ f \in C(X) \colon |f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)| \\ &\leqslant 2 \mid h\mid^{\alpha}, \forall x, x \pm h \in X \}, \qquad 0 < \alpha \leqslant 2 \, ; \\ W^{(1)}(C(X);\alpha;1) &:= \{ f \in C^1(X) \colon f' \in \operatorname{Lip}(C(X);\alpha;1) \}, \qquad 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1 \, . \end{split}$$

Moreover we want to study the approximation of higher order. Thus we consider the quantity

$$\Delta(L_n; \alpha; q; x) := \sup_{f \in W^{(q)}(C(X); \alpha; 1)} \left| L_n(f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{q} \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (t - x)^k; x) \right|$$

with

$$W^{(q)}(C(X); \alpha; 1) := \{ f \in C^{(q)}(X) : f^{(q)} \in \text{Lip}(C(X); \alpha; 1) \},$$

$$0 < \alpha \leq 1, q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, using estimation (2.1) and the results of [3; Theorems 2.3–2.4], we get (a) for the sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ 

	Order of approximation	Local Nikolskii constant
$\Delta(T_n; \operatorname{Lip}(C 0, \infty); \alpha, 1); x),$ $0 < \alpha \leq 1$	$n^{-\alpha/2}$	$\frac{\Gamma((\alpha+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} (2x(1-cx))^{\alpha/2}$
$A(T_n; \operatorname{Lip}^*(C[0, \infty); \alpha; 2); x),$ $0 < \alpha \leq 2$	?	?
$A(T_n; W^{(1)}(C[0, \infty); \alpha; 1); x),$ $0 < \alpha < 1$	$n^{-(\alpha+1)/2}$	?
$\Delta(T_n; W^{(1)}(C[0,\infty); 1; 1); x),$	$n^{-1}$	$\frac{1}{2}x(1-cx)$
$A(T_n; \alpha; q; x),$ $q \text{ even, } 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1$	$n^{-(\alpha+q)/2}$	$\frac{\Gamma((\alpha+q+1)/2)}{(1+\alpha)_q\sqrt{\pi}}\left(2x(1-cx)\right)^{(\alpha+q)/2}$
$\Delta(T_n; \alpha; q; x)$ , $q \text{ odd}$ , $0 < \alpha < 1$	$n^{-(\alpha+q)/2}$	?
$\Delta(T_n; 1; q; x),$ q odd	$n^{-(q+1)/2}$	$\frac{\Gamma((q+2)/2)}{(q+1)!\sqrt{\pi}} (2x(1-cx))^{(q+1)/2}$

(b) for the sequence  $(\tilde{T}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ 

	Order of approximation	Local Nikolskii constant
$\Delta(\widetilde{T}_n; \operatorname{Lip}(C(\mathbb{F}^n); \alpha; 1); x),$ $0 < \alpha \leqslant 1$	$n^{-\alpha/2}$	$\frac{F((\alpha+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}}(2x(1-cx))^{\alpha}$
$\Delta(\tilde{T}_n; \operatorname{Lip}^*(C(\mathbb{R}); \alpha; 2); x),$ $0 < \alpha \leqslant 2$	$n^{-\alpha/2}$	$\frac{F((\alpha+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} (2x(1-cx))^{\alpha/2}$
$\Delta(\widetilde{T}_n; W^{(1)}(C(\top); \alpha; 1); x),$ $0 < \alpha \leqslant 1$	$n^{-(n+1)/2}$	$\frac{2^{n-1}\Gamma((\alpha+2)/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} (2x(1-ex))^{(n+1)}$
$A(\widetilde{T}_n; \alpha; q; x),$ $q \text{ even, } 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1$	$n^{-(\alpha+q)/2}$	$\frac{T((\alpha+q+1)/2)}{(1+\alpha)_q\sqrt{\pi}}(2x(1-cx))^{(\alpha+q)/2}$
$\Delta(\widetilde{T}_n; \alpha; q; x),$ $q \text{ odd}, 0 < \alpha \leqslant 1$	$n^{-(\alpha+q)/2}$	$\frac{2^{n-1}F((\alpha+q+1)/2)}{(1+\alpha)_q\sqrt{\pi}}(2x(1-cx))^{(\alpha-q)/2}$

where  $(1 + a)_q := \prod_{k=1}^{q} (a + k)$ .

Remark. From Theorem 2.2 we have learned that the above results are valid

- (a) for  $0 < x < \min(b, 1/(2c))$ , if the sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies all conditions of Definition 1.1 on an interval [0, b] with c > 0, (in particular for 0 < x < 1, if c = 1,  $\Phi_n(x) = (1 x)^n$ );
- (b) for all x > 0, if  $\Phi_n(x) = \exp(-nx)$  (c = 0) or  $\Phi_n(x) = (1 cx)^{n+c}$  (c < 0).

## REFERENCES

- 1. V. A. BASKAKOV, An example of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 113 (1957), 249–251. [Russian]
- 2. H. G. LEHNHOFF, "Lokale Approximationsmaße und Nikolskii Konstanten für positive lineare Operatoren," Dissertation, Universität Dortmund, 1979.
- 3. H.-G. LEHNHOFF, Local Nikolskii constants for positive linear operators, *J. Approx. Theory*, in press.
- 4. G. G. LORENTZ, "Bernstein Polynomials," Univ. of Toronto Press. Toronto, 1953.
- R. K. S. RATHORE, "Linear Combinations of Linear Positive Operators and Generating Relations in Special Functions," Dissertation, Indian Institute of Technology. Delhi. 1973.
- R. K. S. RATHORE, "Approximation of Unbounded Functions with Linear Positive Operators," Doctoral thesis, Technische Hogeschool Delft, 1974.
- R. K. S. RATHORE, Lipschitz-Nikolskii constants for the Gamma operators of Müller. Math. Z. 141 (1975), 193–198.

- 8. R. K. S. RATHORE, Lipschitz-Nikolskii constants and asymptotic simultaneous approximation of the  $M_n$ -operators, Aequationes Math. 18 (1978). 206-217.
- 9. F. SCHURER, "On Linear Positive Operators in Approximation Theory," Doctoral thesis. Technische Hogeschool Delft. 1965.
- P. C. SIKKEMA, Über die Schurerschen linearen Operatoren I, II, *Indag. Math.* 37 (1975), 230–242, 243–253.